

### REMARKS


Claims 22-47 are pending in the application with claims 27 and 38 amended herein. Applicant expresses appreciation for the repeat allowance of claims 22-26 and 40-47.

Claims 27-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiMeo, Jr. (US 6,972,430) in view of Ohashi (US 6,059,885). Applicant requests reconsideration. Page 4 of the Office Action states that the reason for allowance of claims 22 and 41 is that the prior art of record fails to teach the barrier wall extending to below the substrate. Claims 27 and 38 are amended herein adding the limitation that the flow director extends downward from elevationally above the substrate holder to elevationally below a substrate on the substrate holder. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that claims 27 and 38, along with claims 28-37 and 39 depending respectively therefrom, are patentable over Dimeo in view of Ohashi.

Applicant herein establishes adequate reasons supporting patentability of pending claims 27-47 and requests allowance of all such pending claims in the next Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 13 Mar 2006

By:   
James E. Lake  
Reg. No. 44,854